## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

8.00	FILED
	MAY - 8 2008
SOUTH BY	MIN, U.S. DISTRICT COUNT  MIN STREET OF COLL FORMA  West Character Springury

TO:	MI a Diampio	CT JUDGE / 🔲 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE 🕒	William O. Hayes		
FRO	M: <u>M. Cruz,</u>	Deputy Clerk RECEIVE	D DATE:5/7/08		
CAS	E NO.: <u>07cv2373</u>		Chad McKinney, Pro Se		
CASE TITLE: McKinney v. Apollo Group Inc. et al					
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Reply To "The University Of Phoenix, Inc.'s Opposition To					
Plaintiff's Motion For Clerk's Entry Of Default"					
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:					
I	ocal Rule	Discrepancy			
	5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation				
	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper				
	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.				
X	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely				
	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document				
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions				
	<ul> <li>7.1 Missing table of contents</li> <li>15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself</li> <li>30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order</li> <li>Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest</li> </ul>				
		OTHER:	<u>_</u>		
IT IS	HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE J	e forwarded: 5/8/68		
<b>X</b>	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.				
	Rejected document	to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Co	ourt Copy retained by chambers		
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local R			
	Dated: 6-7- cc: All Parties	OS       By:	odge Hoyes		